




CITY COUNCIL AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY TRANSMITTAL


rachel.otto (Oct 4, 2022 11:11 MDT)

Rachel Otto, Chief of Staff

Date Received: Oct 4, 2022

Date sent to Council: Oct 4, 2022

TO: Salt Lake City Council
Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake City, Board of Directors
Dan Dugan, Council Chair
Ana Valdemoros, Redevelopment Agency Board Chair

DATE: October 4, 2022

FROM: Rachel Otto, Chief of Staff
Katie Lewis, City Attorney

SUBJECT: Interlocal Agreement between Salt Lake City Corporation, the Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake City, and the Utah Inland Port Authority

STAFF CONTACT: Rachel Otto, Chief of Staff
Katie Lewis, City Attorney

DOCUMENT TYPE: Resolution Approving Interlocal Agreement

RECOMMENDATION: Consider and approve a resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute the Interlocal Agreement in her capacity as the Mayor of Salt Lake City Corporation and as the Executive Director of the Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake City

BUDGET IMPACT: None

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION: The Utah Legislature passed HB443 in the 2022 legislative session, which amended certain portions of Utah Code 11-58, the Utah Inland Port Authority Act.

HB443 amended the Utah Inland Port Authority Act to, among other things, alter the percentage of Salt Lake City-generated property tax differential that is distributed to the Utah Inland Port Authority (called "exempt area property tax"). Specifically, under HB443, for a period of 25 years beginning January 1, 2023, the percentage of exempt area property tax paid to the Utah Inland Port Authority ("Port Authority") shall be 25%, plus:

- an additional 40% for the first tax year that begins on or after January 1, 2023, decreasing 2% each year after the 2023 tax year, so that in 2029 the percentage is 28;
- beginning January 1, 2030, and for a period of seven years, shall be 10%;
- beginning January 1, 2037, and for a period of 11 years, shall be 8%;
- and after 2047, shall be 0%."

During this 25-year period, the Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake City will be paid 10% of exempt area property tax to be used for affordable housing, as required under Utah Code 17C-1-412.

HB443 requires the Port Authority to spend 40% of the exempt area property tax on environmental mitigation projects within the authority jurisdictional land (“Environmental Mitigation Money”); and 40% for mitigation projects for communities that are within Salt Lake City and west of the TRAX (“Community Mitigation Money”). The remaining 20% of the exempt area property tax may be spent by the Port Authority for economic development projects within the authority jurisdictional land.

HB443 requires the City, the Redevelopment Agency, and the Port Authority to execute a contract by December 31, 2022, providing for:

- A process for how the Port Authority is to spend the Environmental Mitigation Money and the Community Mitigation Money;
- Include a requirement that the Port Authority consult with the City in determining how to spend the Environmental Mitigation Money and the Community Mitigation Money;
- Require the Redevelopment Agency to spend the 10% of exempt area property tax it receives for affordable housing; and
- Require the City to agree to facilitate the efficient processing of land use applications relating to the authority jurisdictional land by providing at least one full-time employee as a single point of contact for the processing of the land use applications.

The draft contract between the City, Redevelopment Agency and the Port Authority is attached. This contract is an interlocal cooperation agreement, which must be authorized by the City Council, Redevelopment Agency Board of Directors, and the Port Authority Board.

The draft agreement does the following:

- Commits the City to designate one full-time employee to serve as an ombudsman and facilitator for the efficient and timely processing of land use applications relating to the authority jurisdictional land.
- Acknowledges that the parties all desire certainty on the percentage of exempt area property tax (as described above) distributed to the Port Authority over the next 25 years, and this certainty is a material reason to execute the interlocal agreement. Also acknowledges that the Utah Legislature sets the percentage.
- Creates an annual process for the expenditure of the Environmental Mitigation Money and the Community Mitigation Money, beginning with the requirement that within a week of receiving the exempt area property tax, the Port Authority will notify the City of the amount of money it received.
- During the first year of the agreement, the City and Port Authority will mutually engage one or more outside firms with national expertise to create a comprehensive health impact assessment (“HIA”), a traffic assessment (“Traffic Study”), and a community impact assessment (“CIA”) all of which will serve as master plans to guide future development plans on the port authority jurisdictional land.

- Each fiscal year, the Port Authority executive team will develop a list of priority projects to spend the Environmental Mitigation Money and the Community Mitigation Money, in conformance with the HIA, Traffic Study and CIA.
- This list of projects will be sent to the City's board of experts, which shall be comprised of members of City departments, including but not limited to, the Redevelopment Agency, Planning, Public Utilities, and Sustainability, Mayor's Office and Council Office, and stakeholders invested in the City's Northwest Quadrant including the Audubon Society and community leaders from adjacent neighborhoods ("NWQ Review Group"). The NWQ Review Group may also develop a separate list of priority projects for the Environmental Mitigation Money and the Community Mitigation Money.
- The UIPA executive team and the NWQ Review Group may either submit to the Port Authority Board a joint recommendation or two separate recommendations of projects to be funded by the Environmental Mitigation Money and Community Mitigation money.
- The Port Authority Board will approve the budget after a public hearing and the budget will include line-item approval of projects for the expenditure of the Environmental Mitigation Money and Community Mitigation Money.
- The UIPA executive team and representatives from the NWQ Review Group will meet quarterly to discuss whether to recommend mid-year adjustments to the UIPA budget for the Environmental Mitigation Money and Community Mitigation Money as well as to develop and adjust priorities for future fiscal years.
- The Redevelopment Agency agrees to use the 10% for affordable housing.

PUBLIC PROCESS: No public hearing is legally required.

ATTACHMENTS:

- A. Proposed City Council Resolution Authorizing Interlocal Agreement
- B. Proposed Redevelopment Agency Resolution Authorizing Interlocal Agreement
- C. Draft Interlocal Agreement

RESOLUTION NO. _____ OF 2022

**Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between Salt Lake City Corporation,
Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake City and the Utah Inland Port Authority**

WHEREAS, the Utah Inland Port Authority Act was amended in the 2022 Utah Legislative Session to change the percentage of Salt Lake City-generated property tax differential distribution to the Utah Inland Port Authority (“Port Authority”) and to require that the Port Authority spend 40% of this property tax differential on environmental mitigation projects within the authority jurisdictional land (“Environmental Mitigation Money”) and 40% of this property tax differential on community mitigation projects for neighborhoods adjacent to the port authority jurisdictional land (“Community Mitigation Money”).

WHEREAS, Utah Code 11-58-604 requires Salt Lake City Corporation (“City”), the Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake (“RDA”), and the Port Authority to execute an agreement by December 31, 2022 that provides for the following:

- A process for how the Port Authority is to spend the Environmental Mitigation Money and the Community Mitigation Money;
- Include a requirement that the Port Authority consult with the City in determining how to spend the Environmental Mitigation Money and the Community Mitigation Money;
- Require the RDA to spend the 10% of exempt area property tax it receives for affordable housing; and
- Require the City to agree to facilitate the efficient processing of land use applications relating to the authority jurisdictional land by providing at least one full-time employee as a single point of contact for the processing of the land use applications.

WHEREAS, the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit A complies with Utah Code 11-58-604 and the City, RDA, and Port Authority desire to execute the agreement prior to December 31, 2022.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Salt Lake City Council, that the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement, in the form attached to this resolution as Exhibit A, is hereby approved.

Passed by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah this ____ day of _____, 2022.


SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL

Dan Dugan, Chair

Attest:

Cindy Lou Trishman, City Recorder

Approved as to form:


Katherine Lewis (Oct 3, 2022 14:16 MDT)

Katherine Lewis, City Attorney

EXHIBIT A TO RESOLUTION

[Attach Interlocal Cooperation Agreement]

INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT

THIS INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT (“**Agreement**”) is dated _____, 2022, to be effective on the date the last keeper of records for each Party attests and files the Agreement (“**Effective Date**”), by and between Salt Lake City Corporation, a Utah municipal corporation (“**City**”), the Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake City, a Utah public entity (“**RDA**”), and the Utah Inland Port Authority (“**UIPA**”), a Utah public entity. The City, RDA, and UIPA are sometimes referred to individually as “**Party**” and collectively as the “**Parties**.”

RECITALS

- A. During the general 2022 Utah legislative session, the Utah Legislature enacted HB443, which revised Utah Code §§ 11-58-101, *et seq.* (the “**Inland Port Act**”) to change, among other things, the distribution by Salt Lake County to UIPA, the City and the RDA of exempt area property tax differential, (defined in Utah law as the portion of property tax differential generated by a property tax levied by Salt Lake City in the port authority jurisdictional land (“**City Differential**”). Specifically, Utah Code Ann. § 58-11-604(6) specifies how UIPA may spend portions of the City Differential, so long as this Agreement has been executed.
- B. A material inducement for the Parties to execute this Agreement is the establishment of certainty for the Parties and other stakeholders on both (i) the percentage of City Differential that will be distributed to UIPA over the next 25 years, and (b) ensuring that the portion of City Differential will be spent by UIPA during the next 25 years to mitigate environmental and community effects of the inland port on Salt Lake City’s residents.
- C. HB443 requires the City, RDA, and UIPA to execute this Agreement no later than December 31, 2022, to establish this certainty and to create a process for UIPA’s expenditure of portions of the City Differential and the RDA’s expenditure of the housing payment.
- D. The Parties also acknowledge that establishing certainty on the expenditure of the City’s Differential can best be achieved by creating a master plan that will become a shared, agreed-upon framework to guide the UIPA’s decision-making and prioritization of projects to mitigate the inland port’s environmental and community impacts.
- E. HB443 also requires the City and UIPA to execute this Agreement no later than December 31, 2022, to ensure that the City agrees to facilitate the efficient processing of land use applications related to authority jurisdictional land, including by providing at least one full-time employee as a single point of contact for processing these land use applications.
- F. The Parties desire to enter into this Agreement to comply with Utah law, to establish a contractual relationship creating certainty on the 25-year distribution of the City Differential to UIPA and to commit to a process for the expenditure of a portion of the City

Differential and the efficient processing of land use applications relating to authority jurisdictional land.

A G R E E M E N T

For good and valuable consideration, the Parties agree as follows:

1. **Efficient Facilitation of Land Use Applications.** Pursuant to Utah Code § 11-58-205(9), the City shall designate one full-time employee who will serve as an ombudsman and facilitator for the efficient and timely processing of all land use applications, as defined in Section 10-9a-103, relating to authority jurisdictional land within the City. This City employee will serve as the single point of contact for UIPA for the processing of such land use applications and will ensure that the City's departments are communicating efficiently to process the land use applications in a timely manner. UIPA may suggest and the City may consider changes to ordinances and the requirements of the inland port authority overlay zone.
2. **Distribution of Tax Differential.** The Parties agree that a material inducement to executing this Agreement is the establishment of certainty regarding the distribution of the City Differential for the next 25 years. While the Parties acknowledge that, through HB433, the Utah Legislature set the percentages of distribution of the City Differential, this law creates an expectation of certainty for the term of this Agreement. In reliance on the commitment made by the Utah Legislature under HB443, the Parties expect that for the term of this agreement, the distribution of the City Differential to the City, RDA and UIPA shall be as follows:
 - a. UIPA shall receive, for a period of 25 years, 25% of the City Differential (the “**25% Set Percentage**”).
 - b. UIPA shall receive an additional percentage of the City Differential which shall decrease over a period of 25 years as follows (the “**Decreasing Percentage**”:
 - i. 40% for the first tax year after the effective date of this Agreement, decreasing 2% for each year so that by 2029, the payment is 28%;
 - ii. 10 % from 2030 for seven years; and
 - iii. 8% from 2037 until 2047, at which time the payment of the City Differential to UIPA shall be 0%.
 - c. During the term of this Agreement, the RDA shall receive 10% of the City Differential, which shall be used for affordable housing under Utah Code 17C-1-412.
3. **Process to Determine Expenditure of the 25% Set Percentage and the Decreasing Percentage.** During the term of this Agreement, within a week of receiving the 25% Set Percentage and the Decreasing Percentage (collectively, the “**City Generated Differential**”), UIPA will notify the City of the amount of City Generated Differential it

received. UIPA shall spend the City Generated Differential as follows and pursuant to the process set forth in Section 3(c), below:

- a. *Environmental Mitigation Projects within the Authority Jurisdictional Land*: UIPA will spend 40% of the City Generated Differential on environmental mitigation projects in the authority jurisdictional land within the City (“**Environmental Differential**”) according to the following:
 - i. During the first year of this Agreement, the City and UIPA will mutually engage an outside firm with national expertise in health impact assessments to create a comprehensive health impact assessment (“**HIA**”) analyzing the planned inland port development in the authority jurisdictional land within the City. The HIA will use a data-based analysis to evaluate the existing and potential health effects of the inland port development in Salt Lake City. The parties will use the HIA as a master plan to guide all future development plans on the authority jurisdictional land. The HIA will be completed by December 31, 2023, will be reviewed by the NWQ Review Group (defined below) and approved by the UIPA board , and will be funded with the Environmental Differential.
 - ii. During the first year of this Agreement, the City and UIPA will mutually engage an outside firm with national expertise in traffic studies, including specifically the impacts on logistics hubs, truck traffic, and railyards on air quality and traffic in adjacent communities. This outside firm will create a traffic study using data-based analysis on best practices to mitigate environmental impacts on communities related to logistics hubs, railyards, and truck traffic (“**Traffic Study**”). The parties will use the Traffic Study as a master plan to guide all future development plans on the authority jurisdictional land. The Traffic Study will be completed by December 31, 2023, will be reviewed by the NWQ Review Group and approved by the UIPA board and will be funded by the Environmental Differential.
 - iii. During the term of the Agreement, UIPA will spend the Environmental Differential in conformance with the HIA and the Traffic Study and will use the Environmental Differential to mitigate the environmental impacts on Salt Lake City related to the development of the authority jurisdictional land. Such environmental mitigation projects may include, but are not limited to, net zero, electric, or equivalent building; mitigation of truck traffic; protection of wetlands; construction that supports migratory bird patterns in and around the jurisdictional land; low water usage; solar or renewable energy and/or electrification of rail.

- iv. Expenditure of the Environmental Differential will only occur after the City and UIPA follow the process described in Section 3(c) below.
- b. Mitigation Projects for Communities within the City. UIPA will spend 40% of the City Generated Differential (“**Community Differential**”) on mitigation projects for communities that are within the City; are adjacent to the authority jurisdictional land; and are west of the east boundary of the right of way of commuter rail used by the City (“**Westside Community**”) according to the following:
 - i. During the first year of this Agreement, the City and UIPA will mutually engage an outside firm with national expertise in community impact assessments to create a comprehensive community impact assessment (“**CIA**”) for the planned inland port development in the authority jurisdictional land and the impacts on the Westside Community. The CIA will use a data-based analysis to evaluate the potential economic, community, and health effects of the inland port development on the Westside Community. The parties will use the CIA as a master plan to guide all future development plans on the authority jurisdictional land. The CIA will be completed by December 31, 2023, will be reviewed by the NWQ Review Group and approved by the UIPA board and will be funded with the Community Differential.
 - ii. During the term of the Agreement, UIPA will spend the Community Differential in conformance with the CIA and to mitigate the impacts of the inland port development on the Westside Community, including but not limited to, projects that create quiet zones, railroad crossings, new infrastructure to benefit adjacent communities, apprenticeship programs, career and youth development, and scholarships for certain careers associated with UIPA.
- c. Process to Review and Identify Expenditures for the Environmental Differential and Community Differential. During each fiscal year, within 30 days after receiving the amount of City Differential from the County, the UIPA executive team will develop a list of priority projects to spend the Environmental Differential and Community Differential (collectively, the “**Mitigation Money**”), in conformance with the priorities of the CIA, Traffic Study and the HIA. The UIPA executive team will submit any proposed use of Mitigation Money to the City’s advisory group of experts, which will be comprised of members of City departments, including but not limited to, the Redevelopment Agency, Planning, Public Utilities, and Sustainability, Mayor’s Office and Council Office, and stakeholders invested in the City’s Northwest Quadrant including the Audubon Society and community leaders from adjacent neighborhoods (“**NWQ Review**”).

Group”). The NWQ Review Group may develop as separate list of priority projects to spend the Mitigation Money.

- d. The UIPA team and representatives of the NWQ Review Group will meet in May and seek to develop a joint recommendation to be presented to UIPA’s board. The Parties anticipate that there will always be more ways to spend the Mitigation Money than there is money available. If there is no agreement on a joint recommendation, each shall separately submit their priority of projects to UIPA’s board. In either case (i.e., whether a joint recommendation is submitted or separate recommendations are submitted) the submissions shall be made prior to the board meeting in June in which a public hearing will be held to approve the budget for UIPA’s next fiscal year which commences July 1. The UIPA executive team and representatives of the NWQ Review Group agree to meet quarterly (likely in the months of August, November and February) to discuss whether to recommend mid-year adjustments to the previously approved UIPA budget for the Mitigation Money as well as to develop and adjust priorities for subsequent fiscal years, including by making adjustments to the priorities in the HIA, CIA, or the Traffic Study to account for changed conditions. In all events, UIPA’s board shall approve a budget after a public hearing, and such budget will include line-item approval of projects for the expenditure of the Mitigation Money.
 - e. Economic Development Activities. UIPA will spend the remaining 20% of the City’s Property Tax on economic development projects within the authority jurisdictional land in Salt Lake City. UIPA will use commercially reasonable efforts to incentivize economic development projects that comport with the HIA, CIA, and Traffic Study.
4. **Use of Housing Payment for Affordable Housing.** As required by Utah Code Ann. § 11-58-604(2)(b)(iii), the RDA agrees to use for affordable housing the payment made by the County to it pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 11-58-604(4)(c) and such amount shall be credited toward UIPA’s obligation under 11-58-601(7)(b).
 5. **Duration and Termination.** The term of this Agreement shall commence on the Effective Date and shall terminate when Mitigation Money is no longer distributed to UIPA under Utah Code § 11-58-604 but it shall not exceed forty (40) years.
 6. **Damages for Breach.** A Party’s violation of any of the terms of this Agreement constitutes a breach. The Party that believes that the other Party is in breach shall give written notice of the alleged breach and the other Party shall have 30 days to mitigate the breach or explain why it is not in breach. If alleged breach is not remedied or the Parties do not agree that there is a breach, then the Parties shall submit the issue to non-binding mediation and share the costs. After mediation, if the Party continues believes that the other Party is still in breach then it may determine, in its sole discretion, to continue performing under this

Agreement but may bring an action and its sole remedies are injunction, mandamus, abatement, or other remedy to prevent, enjoin, abate, or enforce any relevant provision. However, no Party is entitled to money damages for any breach determined by a court to have occurred.

7. **Interlocal Cooperation Act.** In satisfaction of the requirements of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, and in connection with this Agreement, the Parties agree as follows:
- (a) This Agreement shall be approved by each Party pursuant to Utah Code §11-13-202.5 of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, including by the Board of the RDA, the Salt Lake City Council, and the UIPA Board of Directors.
 - (b) This Agreement shall be reviewed as to proper form and compliance with applicable law by a duly authorized attorney on behalf of each Party, pursuant to Utah Code §11-13-202.5 of the Interlocal Cooperation Act.
 - (c) A duly executed original counterpart of this Agreement shall be filed with keeper of records of each Party, pursuant to Utah Code §11-13-209 of the Interlocal Cooperation Act.
 - (d) Except as otherwise specifically provided herein, each Party shall be responsible for its own costs of any action taken pursuant to this Agreement, and for any financing of such costs.
 - (e) Any Party may withdraw from the joint or cooperative undertaking described in this Agreement only upon the termination of this Agreement.
 - (f) No real or personal property shall be acquired jointly by the Parties as a result of this Agreement. To the extent that a Party acquires, holds, or disposes of any real or personal property for use in the joint or cooperative undertaking contemplated by this Agreement, such Party shall do so in the same manner that it deals with other property of such Party.
 - (g) No joint board or entity is created through this Agreement.
 - (h) The functions to be performed by the joint or cooperative undertaking are those described in this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties are executing this Agreement to be effective as of the Effective Date.

Utah Inland Port Authority, a Utah public entity

Name: _____
Title: _____


Approved as to Proper Form and
Compliance with Applicable Law:

Lyndon Ricks, Attorney for Utah Inland
Port Authority

Salt Lake City Corporation, a Utah municipal corporation

Erin Mendenhall, Mayor

Approved as to Proper Form and Compliance
with Applicable Law:



Katherine Lewis (Oct 4, 2022 09:25 MDT)

Katherine Lewis, City Attorney

Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake City,
a Utah public entity

Erin Mendenhall, Executive Director

Approved as to Proper Form and
Compliance with Applicable Law:


Katherine Lewis (Oct 4, 2022 09:25 MDT)

Katherine Lewis, City Attorney

Attest:

Cindy Lou Trishman, City Recorder

REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF SALT LAKE CITY

RESOLUTION NO. _____

**Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between Salt Lake City Corporation,
Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake City and the Utah Inland Port Authority**

WHEREAS, the Utah Inland Port Authority Act was amended in the 2022 Utah Legislative Session to change the percentage of Salt Lake City-generated property tax differential distribution to the Utah Inland Port Authority (“Port Authority”) and to require that the Port Authority spend 40% of this property tax differential on environmental mitigation projects within the authority jurisdictional land (“Environmental Mitigation Money”) and 40% of this property tax differential on community mitigation projects for neighborhoods adjacent to the port authority jurisdictional land (“Community Mitigation Money”).

WHEREAS, Utah Code 11-58-604 requires Salt Lake City Corporation (“City”), the Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake (“RDA”), and the Port Authority to execute an agreement by December 31, 2022 that provides for the following:

- A process for how the Port Authority is to spend the Environmental Mitigation Money and the Community Mitigation Money;
- Include a requirement that the Port Authority consult with the City in determining how to spend the Environmental Mitigation Money and the Community Mitigation Money;
- Require the RDA to spend the 10% of exempt area property tax it receives for affordable housing; and
- Require the City to agree to facilitate the efficient processing of land use applications relating to the authority jurisdictional land by providing at least one full-time employee as a single point of contact for the processing of the land use applications.

WHEREAS, the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit A complies with Utah Code 11-58-604 and the City, RDA, and Port Authority desire to execute the agreement prior to December 31, 2022.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake City, that the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement, in the form attached to this resolution as Exhibit A, is hereby approved.

Passed by the Board of Directors of the Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake City, this _____ day of _____, 2022.


Ana Valdemoros, Chair

Transmitted to the Executive Director on _____.
The Executive Director:

_____ does not request reconsideration
_____ requests reconsideration at the next regular Agency meeting.

Erin Mendenhall, Executive Director

Approved as to form:


Katherine Lewis (Oct 3, 2022 14:15 MDT)

Katherine Lewis, City Attorney

Attest:

Cindy Lou Trishman, City Recorder'

EXHIBIT A TO RESOLUTION

[Attach Interlocal Cooperation Agreement]

INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT

THIS INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT (“**Agreement**”) is dated _____, 2022, to be effective on the date the last keeper of records for each Party attests and files the Agreement (“**Effective Date**”), by and between Salt Lake City Corporation, a Utah municipal corporation (“**City**”), the Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake City, a Utah public entity (“**RDA**”), and the Utah Inland Port Authority (“**UIPA**”), a Utah public entity. The City, RDA, and UIPA are sometimes referred to individually as “**Party**” and collectively as the “**Parties**.”

RECITALS

- A. During the general 2022 Utah legislative session, the Utah Legislature enacted HB443, which revised Utah Code §§ 11-58-101, *et seq.* (the “**Inland Port Act**”) to change, among other things, the distribution by Salt Lake County to UIPA, the City and the RDA of exempt area property tax differential, (defined in Utah law as the portion of property tax differential generated by a property tax levied by Salt Lake City in the port authority jurisdictional land (“**City Differential**”). Specifically, Utah Code Ann. § 58-11-604(6) specifies how UIPA may spend portions of the City Differential, so long as this Agreement has been executed.
- B. A material inducement for the Parties to execute this Agreement is the establishment of certainty for the Parties and other stakeholders on both (i) the percentage of City Differential that will be distributed to UIPA over the next 25 years, and (b) ensuring that the portion of City Differential will be spent by UIPA during the next 25 years to mitigate environmental and community effects of the inland port on Salt Lake City’s residents.
- C. HB443 requires the City, RDA, and UIPA to execute this Agreement no later than December 31, 2022, to establish this certainty and to create a process for UIPA’s expenditure of portions of the City Differential and the RDA’s expenditure of the housing payment.
- D. The Parties also acknowledge that establishing certainty on the expenditure of the City’s Differential can best be achieved by creating a master plan that will become a shared, agreed-upon framework to guide the UIPA’s decision-making and prioritization of projects to mitigate the inland port’s environmental and community impacts.
- E. HB443 also requires the City and UIPA to execute this Agreement no later than December 31, 2022, to ensure that the City agrees to facilitate the efficient processing of land use applications related to authority jurisdictional land, including by providing at least one full-time employee as a single point of contact for processing these land use applications.
- F. The Parties desire to enter into this Agreement to comply with Utah law, to establish a contractual relationship creating certainty on the 25-year distribution of the City Differential to UIPA and to commit to a process for the expenditure of a portion of the City

Differential and the efficient processing of land use applications relating to authority jurisdictional land.

A G R E E M E N T

For good and valuable consideration, the Parties agree as follows:

1. **Efficient Facilitation of Land Use Applications.** Pursuant to Utah Code § 11-58-205(9), the City shall designate one full-time employee who will serve as an ombudsman and facilitator for the efficient and timely processing of all land use applications, as defined in Section 10-9a-103, relating to authority jurisdictional land within the City. This City employee will serve as the single point of contact for UIPA for the processing of such land use applications and will ensure that the City's departments are communicating efficiently to process the land use applications in a timely manner. UIPA may suggest and the City may consider changes to ordinances and the requirements of the inland port authority overlay zone.
2. **Distribution of Tax Differential.** The Parties agree that a material inducement to executing this Agreement is the establishment of certainty regarding the distribution of the City Differential for the next 25 years. While the Parties acknowledge that, through HB433, the Utah Legislature set the percentages of distribution of the City Differential, this law creates an expectation of certainty for the term of this Agreement. In reliance on the commitment made by the Utah Legislature under HB443, the Parties expect that for the term of this agreement, the distribution of the City Differential to the City, RDA and UIPA shall be as follows:
 - a. UIPA shall receive, for a period of 25 years, 25% of the City Differential (the "**25% Set Percentage**").
 - b. UIPA shall receive an additional percentage of the City Differential which shall decrease over a period of 25 years as follows (the "**Decreasing Percentage**":
 - i. 40% for the first tax year after the effective date of this Agreement, decreasing 2% for each year so that by 2029, the payment is 28%;
 - ii. 10 % from 2030 for seven years; and
 - iii. 8% from 2037 until 2047, at which time the payment of the City Differential to UIPA shall be 0%.
 - c. During the term of this Agreement, the RDA shall receive 10% of the City Differential, which shall be used for affordable housing under Utah Code 17C-1-412.
3. **Process to Determine Expenditure of the 25% Set Percentage and the Decreasing Percentage.** During the term of this Agreement, within a week of receiving the 25% Set Percentage and the Decreasing Percentage (collectively, the "**City Generated Differential**"), UIPA will notify the City of the amount of City Generated Differential it

received. UIPA shall spend the City Generated Differential as follows and pursuant to the process set forth in Section 3(c), below:

- a. *Environmental Mitigation Projects within the Authority Jurisdictional Land*: UIPA will spend 40% of the City Generated Differential on environmental mitigation projects in the authority jurisdictional land within the City (“**Environmental Differential**”) according to the following:
 - i. During the first year of this Agreement, the City and UIPA will mutually engage an outside firm with national expertise in health impact assessments to create a comprehensive health impact assessment (“**HIA**”) analyzing the planned inland port development in the authority jurisdictional land within the City. The HIA will use a data-based analysis to evaluate the existing and potential health effects of the inland port development in Salt Lake City. The parties will use the HIA as a master plan to guide all future development plans on the authority jurisdictional land. The HIA will be completed by December 31, 2023, will be reviewed by the NWQ Review Group (defined below) and approved by the UIPA board , and will be funded with the Environmental Differential.
 - ii. During the first year of this Agreement, the City and UIPA will mutually engage an outside firm with national expertise in traffic studies, including specifically the impacts on logistics hubs, truck traffic, and railyards on air quality and traffic in adjacent communities. This outside firm will create a traffic study using data-based analysis on best practices to mitigate environmental impacts on communities related to logistics hubs, railyards, and truck traffic (“**Traffic Study**”). The parties will use the Traffic Study as a master plan to guide all future development plans on the authority jurisdictional land. The Traffic Study will be completed by December 31, 2023, will be reviewed by the NWQ Review Group and approved by the UIPA board and will be funded by the Environmental Differential.
 - iii. During the term of the Agreement, UIPA will spend the Environmental Differential in conformance with the HIA and the Traffic Study and will use the Environmental Differential to mitigate the environmental impacts on Salt Lake City related to the development of the authority jurisdictional land. Such environmental mitigation projects may include, but are not limited to, net zero, electric, or equivalent building; mitigation of truck traffic; protection of wetlands; construction that supports migratory bird patterns in and around the jurisdictional land; low water usage; solar or renewable energy and/or electrification of rail.

- iv. Expenditure of the Environmental Differential will only occur after the City and UIPA follow the process described in Section 3(c) below.
- b. Mitigation Projects for Communities within the City. UIPA will spend 40% of the City Generated Differential (“**Community Differential**”) on mitigation projects for communities that are within the City; are adjacent to the authority jurisdictional land; and are west of the east boundary of the right of way of commuter rail used by the City (“**Westside Community**”) according to the following:
 - i. During the first year of this Agreement, the City and UIPA will mutually engage an outside firm with national expertise in community impact assessments to create a comprehensive community impact assessment (“**CIA**”) for the planned inland port development in the authority jurisdictional land and the impacts on the Westside Community. The CIA will use a data-based analysis to evaluate the potential economic, community, and health effects of the inland port development on the Westside Community. The parties will use the CIA as a master plan to guide all future development plans on the authority jurisdictional land. The CIA will be completed by December 31, 2023, will be reviewed by the NWQ Review Group and approved by the UIPA board and will be funded with the Community Differential.
 - ii. During the term of the Agreement, UIPA will spend the Community Differential in conformance with the CIA and to mitigate the impacts of the inland port development on the Westside Community, including but not limited to, projects that create quiet zones, railroad crossings, new infrastructure to benefit adjacent communities, apprenticeship programs, career and youth development, and scholarships for certain careers associated with UIPA.
- c. Process to Review and Identify Expenditures for the Environmental Differential and Community Differential. During each fiscal year, within 30 days after receiving the amount of City Differential from the County, the UIPA executive team will develop a list of priority projects to spend the Environmental Differential and Community Differential (collectively, the “**Mitigation Money**”), in conformance with the priorities of the CIA, Traffic Study and the HIA. The UIPA executive team will submit any proposed use of Mitigation Money to the City’s advisory group of experts, which will be comprised of members of City departments, including but not limited to, the Redevelopment Agency, Planning, Public Utilities, and Sustainability, Mayor’s Office and Council Office, and stakeholders invested in the City’s Northwest Quadrant including the Audubon Society and community leaders from adjacent neighborhoods (“**NWQ Review**”).

Group”). The NWQ Review Group may develop as separate list of priority projects to spend the Mitigation Money.

- d. The UIPA team and representatives of the NWQ Review Group will meet in May and seek to develop a joint recommendation to be presented to UIPA’s board. The Parties anticipate that there will always be more ways to spend the Mitigation Money than there is money available. If there is no agreement on a joint recommendation, each shall separately submit their priority of projects to UIPA’s board. In either case (i.e., whether a joint recommendation is submitted or separate recommendations are submitted) the submissions shall be made prior to the board meeting in June in which a public hearing will be held to approve the budget for UIPA’s next fiscal year which commences July 1. The UIPA executive team and representatives of the NWQ Review Group agree to meet quarterly (likely in the months of August, November and February) to discuss whether to recommend mid-year adjustments to the previously approved UIPA budget for the Mitigation Money as well as to develop and adjust priorities for subsequent fiscal years, including by making adjustments to the priorities in the HIA, CIA, or the Traffic Study to account for changed conditions. In all events, UIPA’s board shall approve a budget after a public hearing, and such budget will include line-item approval of projects for the expenditure of the Mitigation Money.
 - e. *Economic Development Activities.* UIPA will spend the remaining 20% of the City’s Property Tax on economic development projects within the authority jurisdictional land in Salt Lake City. UIPA will use commercially reasonable efforts to incentivize economic development projects that comport with the HIA, CIA, and Traffic Study.
4. **Use of Housing Payment for Affordable Housing.** As required by Utah Code Ann. § 11-58-604(2)(b)(iii), the RDA agrees to use for affordable housing the payment made by the County to it pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 11-58-604(4)(c) and such amount shall be credited toward UIPA’s obligation under 11-58-601(7)(b).
 5. **Duration and Termination.** The term of this Agreement shall commence on the Effective Date and shall terminate when Mitigation Money is no longer distributed to UIPA under Utah Code § 11-58-604 but it shall not exceed forty (40) years.
 6. **Damages for Breach.** A Party’s violation of any of the terms of this Agreement constitutes a breach. The Party that believes that the other Party is in breach shall give written notice of the alleged breach and the other Party shall have 30 days to mitigate the breach or explain why it is not in breach. If alleged breach is not remedied or the Parties do not agree that there is a breach, then the Parties shall submit the issue to non-binding mediation and share the costs. After mediation, if the Party continues believes that the other Party is still in breach then it may determine, in its sole discretion, to continue performing under this

Agreement but may bring an action and its sole remedies are injunction, mandamus, abatement, or other remedy to prevent, enjoin, abate, or enforce any relevant provision. However, no Party is entitled to money damages for any breach determined by a court to have occurred.

7. **Interlocal Cooperation Act.** In satisfaction of the requirements of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, and in connection with this Agreement, the Parties agree as follows:
- (a) This Agreement shall be approved by each Party pursuant to Utah Code §11-13-202.5 of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, including by the Board of the RDA, the Salt Lake City Council, and the UIPA Board of Directors.
 - (b) This Agreement shall be reviewed as to proper form and compliance with applicable law by a duly authorized attorney on behalf of each Party, pursuant to Utah Code §11-13-202.5 of the Interlocal Cooperation Act.
 - (c) A duly executed original counterpart of this Agreement shall be filed with keeper of records of each Party, pursuant to Utah Code §11-13-209 of the Interlocal Cooperation Act.
 - (d) Except as otherwise specifically provided herein, each Party shall be responsible for its own costs of any action taken pursuant to this Agreement, and for any financing of such costs.
 - (e) Any Party may withdraw from the joint or cooperative undertaking described in this Agreement only upon the termination of this Agreement.
 - (f) No real or personal property shall be acquired jointly by the Parties as a result of this Agreement. To the extent that a Party acquires, holds, or disposes of any real or personal property for use in the joint or cooperative undertaking contemplated by this Agreement, such Party shall do so in the same manner that it deals with other property of such Party.
 - (g) No joint board or entity is created through this Agreement.
 - (h) The functions to be performed by the joint or cooperative undertaking are those described in this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties are executing this Agreement to be effective as of the Effective Date.

Utah Inland Port Authority, a Utah public entity

Name: _____
Title: _____


Approved as to Proper Form and
Compliance with Applicable Law:

Lyndon Ricks, Attorney for Utah Inland
Port Authority

Salt Lake City Corporation, a Utah municipal corporation

Erin Mendenhall, Mayor

Approved as to Proper Form and Compliance
with Applicable Law:



Katherine Lewis (Oct 4, 2022 09:25 MDT)

Katherine Lewis, City Attorney

Redevelopment Agency of Salt Lake City,
a Utah public entity

Erin Mendenhall, Executive Director

Approved as to Proper Form and
Compliance with Applicable Law:


Katherine Lewis (Oct 4, 2022 09:25 MDT)

Katherine Lewis, City Attorney

Attest:

Cindy Lou Trishman, City Recorder






TRANSMITTAL- Utah Inland Port Authority Contract_

Final Audit Report

2022-10-04

Created:	2022-10-04
By:	Cindy Trishman (cindy.trishman@slcgov.com)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAbDKiqmzqLbejQQfvaJRg_zzFukLM-6q8

"TRANSMITTAL- Utah Inland Port Authority Contract_" History

-  Document created by Cindy Trishman (cindy.trishman@slcgov.com)
2022-10-04 - 4:48:32 PM GMT
-  Document emailed to rachel otto (rachel.otto@slcgov.com) for signature
2022-10-04 - 4:50:17 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by rachel otto (rachel.otto@slcgov.com)
2022-10-04 - 5:11:35 PM GMT
-  Document e-signed by rachel otto (rachel.otto@slcgov.com)
Signature Date: 2022-10-04 - 5:11:46 PM GMT - Time Source: server
-  Agreement completed.
2022-10-04 - 5:11:46 PM GMT

